ITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMA

This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto.

FEE REQUIREMENTS FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

Small Entity claim							
A. ☐ NOT made B. ☑ Withdrawn C. ☐ made herewith D. ☐ made previously For B & C See Required Separate Paper (Pat-256)	Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for		Present Extra	Large/Small Entity	Additional Fee	Fee Code Lg/Sm
2. Total Effective Claims	20	**minus	20	0	x \$18/\$9 =	+ \$0	103/203
3. Independent Claims	2	***minus	3	0	x \$80/\$40 =	+ \$0	102/202
4. If amendment enters <u>proper</u> multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for <u>first</u> time (leave <u>blank</u> if this is a <u>reissue</u> application)						+ \$0	104/204
5. Original due Date: August 16,	e Date: August 16, 2001 NONE					7.	
6. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due (1 mo) \$110/\$55 = date to cover the date this response is filed for which the (2 mos) \$390/\$195 = +\$0							115/215 116/216
date to cover the date this response is fined for which the							117/217
104 a.o. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10							118/218
(Usable <u>only</u> for ≤ 2mo.OA 4 mos) \$1390/\$695= (Usable <u>only</u> for 30 day/1mo.OA 5 mos) \$1890/\$945=							128/228
7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract - \$0							
8. Extension Fee Attached						+ \$0	
9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee						+ \$0	148/248
10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee under Rule 97 (c),						+ \$0	126 126
or if Rule 97(d) Request							
11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r)						+ \$0	146/246
12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b)						+ \$0	149/249
13. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) + \$710/355						+ \$0	1179/1279
14. Petition fee for						+ \$0	
15. TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED =						\$0	
A C 470 d							

16. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0".

REPLY/AMENDMEND

18. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975) (Our Order No. 037003 0275463

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown above, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately

Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property Group

By Atty: Bonnie D. Weiss

Reg. No. 43,255

McLean, VA 22102

1600 Tysons Boulevard

Sig:

(703) 905-2500 (703) 905-2219

PATENT APPLICATION

OFFICE

Tel: (703) 905-2000 Atty/Sec: BDW/kmh

^{17. **}If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space.





RECEIVED

AUG 1 0 2001

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

8/13/

In re PATENT APPLICATION OF

Darrell R. Anderson et al.

Application No. 08/921,060

Filed: August 29, 1997

Group Art Unit: 1644

Examiner: R. Schwadron

Title: THERAPEUTIC APPLICATION OF CHIMERIC AND RADIOLABELED ANTIBODIES TO HUMAN B

LYMPHOCYTE RESTRICTED DIFFERENTIATION ANTIGEN FOR TREATMENT OF B CELL

LYMPHOMA

AMENDMENT

Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Communication from the Examiner dated July 16, 2001, kindly enter the following remarks.

Remarks

According to the Communication dated July 16, 2001, the Reply filed April 24, 2001 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action in that a specific response to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) set forth under paragraph (10) of the Office Action was omitted. Applicants appreciate the Examiner's acknowledging this inadvertent admission, which is now submitted herein.

As set forth in paragraph (10) of the Office Action, claims 11-15 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Hellstrom et al. (WO 92/07466) in view of Robinson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,500,362), Reff et al. (J. Biol. Chem., March 29,1993) or Reff et al. (Blood, Jan. 15, 1994) or Anderson et al. (1991 abstract). It is the Examiner's opinion that the claims of the present invention would be obvious in view of this combination of references given that Hellstrom et al. allegedly teaches the use of chimeric antibodies in combination with chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of cancer, Robinson et al. allegedly teaches the use of chimeric anti-CD20 antibody to treat B cell lymphoma, and that the Reff references and Anderson et al. allegedly disclose the specific chimeric anti-CD20